

ANSWER: Yes.

3. Was the Plaintiff injured by the negligence of the Defendant?

ANSWER: Yes.

**AND IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE COURT** that the jury reported that it was unable to reach a unanimous verdict on issue 4, at which point the parties agreed, on the record and in open court, to accept a majority verdict on issue 4. Thereafter, the jury duly sworn and empaneled answered the following issue by a majority vote:

4. Did the Plaintiff, by his own negligence, contribute to his injury?

ANSWER: No.

**AND IT FURTHER APPEARING TO THE COURT** that the jury duly sworn and empaneled answered the remaining issues unanimously as follows:

5. What amount is the Plaintiff Tony King entitled to recover for personal injury?

ANSWER: \$115,000.00.

6. Did the negligence of the Defendant proximately cause Sharon King to lose the consortium of her spouse?

ANSWER: No.

7. What amount is Sharon King entitled to recover for loss of consortium?

ANSWER: Not applicable.

Based on the foregoing verdict of the jury duly sworn and empaneled, it is **NOW ORDERED, AJUDGED, AND DEGREED AS FOLLOWS:**

1. That Plaintiff Tony King shall have and recover of Defendant SKR Enterprises, Inc. damages in the amount of \$115,000.00, plus interest from the date of the filing of this action as by law provided;

2. That Plaintiff Sharon King shall have and recover nothing of Defendant SKR Enterprises, Inc. and that her claims are hereby dismissed, with prejudice;